

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/991,810 11/23/2001 David Harris 5577 Brookstone 01.01 7590 10/15/2003 **EXAMINER** Attention Norman P. Soloway SAADAT, CAMERON HAYES, SOLOWAY, HENNESSEY, ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER GROSSMAN & HAGE, P.C. 130 W. Cushing Street Tucson, AZ 85701 3713 DATE MAILED: 10/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Δ	pplication No.		Applicant(s)		
ζ"						HARRIS ET AL.		
<b>P</b>	Offic	Action Summary		09/991,810 Examiner		Art Unit		
		,, <b>,</b>		xammer ameron Saadat	•	3713		
	- The MAII	ING DATE of this commu					9SS	
Period fo			• •			•		
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	MAILING Ensions of time of SIX (6) MONT period for replore to reply with eply received by	O STATUTORY PERIOD ID ATE OF THIS COMMUN may be available under the provision HS from the mailing date of this comy specified above is less than thirty is specified above, the maximum is in the set or extended period for repoy the Office later than three months adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. is of 37 CFR 1.136(a) imunication. (30) days, a reply with statutory period will a ly will, by statute, cau	). In no event, howe hin the statutory mini pply and will expire S ise the application to	ver, may a reply be tim mum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.	
1)⊠	Respons	ive to communication(s)	filed on <u>10 Aug</u>	<u>just 2003</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This acti	on is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)☐ This a	action is non-fir	nal.	•		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	closed in on of Clai		ctice under <i>Ex</i>	parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 4	.53 O.G. 213.		
4) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-39</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to.							
•		are subject to restr	iction and/or el	lection requirer	ment.			
	on Paper		_					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
,		J.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
_		dgment is made of a clair	m for foreign pi	riority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).		
/—		Some * c) None of:	• .			, (-, ()		
۵٫۱	•	tified copies of the priorit		ave been rece	ived.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
* S	See the att	application from the Inter ached detailed Office act				ed.		
14) 🗌 A	cknowled	gment is made of a claim	for domestic p	riority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional a	pplication).	
	. —	ranslation of the foreign la						
Attachment		J		,				
1) Notic	e of Referen e of Draftspe	ces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		4) 5) 6)		/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-1		

Application/Control Number: 09/991,810

Art Unit: 3713

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

In response to Amendment filed 8/10/03, claims 1-39 are pending in this application.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1, 4-8, 10-20, 23-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ancona et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0009016 A1; hereinafter Ancona) in view of Barker (USPN Des. 386,941)

Regarding claims 1, 15, 20, and 34, Ancona discloses a bar tool, comprising: a handle 76 (see Fig. 4a); an electronic display 92 for displaying drink a drink recipe coupled to handle 76; and a container 84 extending from the handle for mixing ingredients; and (as per claims 15 and 34) memory 52 disposed in the handle for storing drink titles and drink recipes. It is not explicitly disclosed that container 84 is a measuring device. However, Barker illustrates a handle with a mixing container extending from the handle, wherein the container provides measurement markings. Hence, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the

Application/Control Number: 09/991,810

Art Unit: 3713

art to modify the container described in Ancona, by providing measurement markings, in light of the teachings of Barker, in order to measure ingredients.

Regarding claims 4 and 23 Ancona discloses a bar tool, further comprising a memory 52 for storing a plurality of drink titles/recipes.

Regarding claims 5, 16, 24, and 35, Ancona discloses a bar tool, further comprising an actuator 58b for scrolling through the plurality of drink titles stored in the memory (see Fig. 4b).

Regarding claims 6 and 25, Ancona discloses a bar tool, wherein each drink title has an associated list of ingredients stored in memory (see Fig. 4b, ref. 92).

Regarding claims 7 and 26, Ancona discloses a bar tool, further comprising an actuator 58b for scrolling through the list of ingredients stored in memory.

Regarding claims 8 and 27, Ancona discloses a bar tool, further comprising a controller 28 for determining text to be displayed on the display.

Regarding claims 10 and 28 Ancona discloses a bar tool, wherein the display displays text (see Fig. 4b, ref. 92).

Regarding claims 11 and 29, Ancona discloses a bar tool, wherein the display displays icons (see Fig. 4b, ref. 92).

Regarding claims 13, 18, 31, and 37, Ancona discloses a bar tool wherein the container device 84 is removable from the handle 76 (See Fig. 4c).

Regarding claims 12, 17, 30, and 36, Ancona discloses a bar tool comprising a communications port for changing the memory (page 1, col. 2, paragraph 0015).

Regarding claims 33 and 39, Ancona discloses a tool wherein the recipe is selected from a group consisting of cooking (see Fig. 6).

Regarding claims 14, 19, 32, and 38, the combination of Ancona and Barker discloses all of the claimed subject matter, but does not explicitly disclose that the measuring device 84 is

Application/Control Number: 09/991,810

Art Unit: 3713

rotatable in the handle 76. However, it is implicit that measuring device 84 is rotatable in the handle 76 for removal and cleaning.

4. Claims 2-3 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ancona et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0009016 A1; hereinafter Ancona) in view of Barker (USPN Des. 386,941), further in view of Herbert (USPN 4,588,004).

The combination of Ancona and Barker discloses a handle 76; an electronic display 92 for displaying drink a drink recipe coupled to handle 76; and a measuring device 84 extending from the handle for mixing ingredients. It is not explicitly stated that the measuring device comprises a first and second measuring container (as per claims 2 and 21) and wherein the first measuring container has a volume capacity larger than the second container (as per claims 3 and 22). However, Herbert discloses a bar tool for measuring drink ingredients comprising a first measuring device 20 having a larger volume capacity of its second measuring device 42. In view of Herbert, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the measuring device described in the combination of Ancona and Barker, by providing a measuring device having a first and second measuring device, in order to provide a device wherein individual ingredients may be measured with one measuring device and emptied into the larger measuring device for mixing.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 5

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Patentability is seen in, although not limited to:

(Claim 9) the combination of a measuring device comprising a handle and a display for displaying drink recipes with the feature of providing a controller for displaying a next ingredient in the recipe when a sensor senses rotation in the handle. The closest prior art of record does not teach or fairly suggest this feature in the combination.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cameron Saadat whose telephone number is 703-305-5490. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa J Walberg can be reached on 703-308-1327. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

CS

Teresa Walberg
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700